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## Fast Calculation of Thermodynamic Properties of Water and Steam in Process Modelling using Spline Interpolation

## Agenda

- Introduction: Motivation and Aims
- Spline Interpolation of Thermodynamic Properties
- Example: Spline Function $T_{2}^{\mathrm{SPL}}(p, h)$ and Inverse Spline Function $h_{2}^{\mathrm{INV}}(p, T)$
- Computing Time comparisons with IAPWS-IF97 and TTSE
- Summary and Outlook


## Introduction: Motivation and Aims

Algorithms for calculating thermodynamic properties used in process modelling have to fulfill these requirements:
$\rightarrow$ Thermodynamic properties must be represented continuously with high accuracy
$\rightarrow$ Numerical consistency between forward and backward functions
$\rightarrow$ High computing speed

## Current state of fast property algorithms:

$\rightarrow$ IAPWS-IF97 contains fast fundamental equations and backward equations.
$\rightarrow$ Table look-up methods: IAPWS adopted Tabular Taylor Series Expansion Method (TTSE) as a guideline in 2003. TTSE is not able to represent thermodynamic property functions continuously.

Further requirements of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) and calculations of non-stationary processes on property calculations:
$\rightarrow$ Extremely high numerical consistency between forward and backward functions
$\rightarrow$ Extremely high computing speed
$\rightarrow$ Flexible algorithm, suitable for property functions such as $p(v, h)$ and $p(v, u)$


Can table look-up methods meet these requirements?

## Spline Interpolation of Thermodynamic Properties

## Characteristics of spline functions:

$\rightarrow$ Consists of piecewise defined functions (spline polynomials)
$\rightarrow$ Continuous and smooth representation of one- or multi-dimensional functions
$\rightarrow$ Several kinds of splines and ways to determine them are possible

## Choice of spline function:

$\rightarrow$ Demand on high computing speed leads to simple spline polynomials
$\rightarrow$ Bi-quadratic spline polynomial can be solved in terms of its variables analytically
$\rightarrow$ On piecewise equidistant grids simple search algorithm can be applied
$\rightarrow$ Special data handling can be applied which enables high computing speed


Bi-quadratic polynomial: $\quad z_{i j}^{\text {spl }}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{l=1}^{3} a_{i j k l}\left(x_{1}-x_{i j}\right)^{k-1}\left(x_{2}-x_{2 j}\right)^{l-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{i j}^{\mathrm{SPL}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=a_{i j 11}+a_{i j 21} \Delta x_{1 i}+a_{31} \Delta x_{1 i}^{2} \\
& \quad+a_{i j 12} \Delta x_{2 j}+a_{i j 22} \Delta x_{1 i} \Delta x_{2 j}+a_{32} \Delta x_{1 i}^{2} \Delta x_{2 j} \\
& \quad+a_{i j 13} \Delta x_{2 j}^{2}+a_{i j 23} \Delta x_{1 i} \Delta x_{2 j}^{2}+a_{33} \Delta x_{1 i}^{2} \Delta x_{2 j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\Delta x_{1 i}=\left(x_{1}-x_{1 i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta x_{2 j}=\left(x_{2}-x_{2 j}\right)
$$

## Spline Interpolation of Thermodynamic Properties

Creating a spline function $z^{\text {SPL }}\left(x_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ from a given e.o.s. $z\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ :
$\rightarrow$ Calculation of a piecewise equidistant grid of nodes from a given equation of state $z\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$
$\rightarrow$ Determination of all spline coefficients for each function $z_{i j}^{\mathrm{SPL}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$
$\rightarrow$ Several ways are possible (depending on interpolation conditions)


## Spline Interpolation of Thermodynamic Properties

## Inverse spline functions:

$\rightarrow$ Bi-quadratic polynomials can be solved analytically in terms of its variables
$\rightarrow$ Inverse spline function:
with
$A=a_{i j 11}+\Delta x_{2 j}\left(a_{i j 22}+a_{i j 33} \Delta x_{2 j}\right) \quad B=a_{i j 21}+\Delta x_{2 j}\left(a_{i j 22}+a_{i 23} \Delta x_{2 j}\right) \quad C=a_{i j 11}+\Delta x_{2 j}\left(a_{i j 2}+a_{i j 13} \Delta x_{2 j}\right)-z$
and $\quad \Delta x_{2 j}=\left(x_{2}-x_{2 j}\right)$
$\rightarrow$ The inverse spline function is completely numerical consistent to the spline function $z_{i j}^{\mathrm{SLL}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$

## Computing speed:

$\rightarrow$ Mathematical operations such as square root and division are more time consuming than multiplications or additions
$\rightarrow$ A more time consuming search algorithm is necessary to determine the sub-rectangle from given $\left(z, x_{2}\right)$
$\rightarrow$ Therefore the computing speed of an inverse spline function is lower than the speed of the corresponding spline function

## Example: Spline Function $T_{2}^{\mathrm{SPL}}(p, h)$ and Inverse Spline Function $h_{2}^{\mathrm{NVV}}(p, T)$

## The $p$-h grid:

$\rightarrow$ In order to reach high accuracy ( 5 significant figures) the grid was created as shown here:


| Range | $p$-grid lines |
| :--- | :---: |
| $0.000611 \mathrm{MPa} \leq p \leq 0.01 \mathrm{MPa}$ | 100 |
| $0.01 \mathrm{MPa} \leq p \leq 0.1 \mathrm{MPa}$ | 100 |
| $0.1 \mathrm{MPa} \leq p \leq 10 \mathrm{MPa}$ | 100 |
| $10 \mathrm{MPa} \leq p \leq 100 \mathrm{MPa}$ | 100 |
| Range | $h$-grid lines |
| $2500.9 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \leq h \leq 2810 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | 50 |
| $2810 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \leq h \leq 4161 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | 100 |

## Computing Time comparisons to IAPWS-IF97 and to TTSE

$\rightarrow$ Using the IAPWS-software NIFBENCH computing time comparisons have been carried out
Spline function $T_{2}^{\mathrm{SPL}}(p, h)$ :
$\rightarrow$ Computing times was compared to $T_{2}^{97 \mathrm{BW}}(p, h)$ and $T^{\text {TTSE }}(p, h)$
$\rightarrow$ Computing times in $\mu \mathrm{s}$ :

| $T_{2}^{\text {SPL }}(p, h)$ | $T_{2}^{97 \mathrm{BW}}(p, h)$ | $T^{\mathrm{TTSE}}(p, h)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.056 | 0.114 | 0.178 |

$\rightarrow$ The spline function $T_{2}^{\text {SPL }}(p, h)$ is 2 times faster than the backward equation $T_{2}^{97 \mathrm{BW}}(p, h)$
Inverse spline function $h_{2}^{\operatorname{INV}}(p, T)$ :
$\rightarrow$ Computing times was compared to $h_{2}^{\mathrm{IF97}}(p, T)$ and $h^{\mathrm{TTSE}}(p, T)$
$\rightarrow$ Computing times in $\mu \mathrm{s}$ :

| $h_{2}^{\mathrm{INV}}(p, T)$ | $h_{2}^{\mathrm{FP97}}(p, T)$ | $h^{\mathrm{TTSE}}(p, T)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.202 | 0.242 | 0.237 |

$\rightarrow$ The inverse spline function $h_{2}^{\mathrm{NNV}}(p, T)$ is 1.2 times faster than $h_{2}^{\mathrm{IP97}}(p, T)$
$\rightarrow$ The inverse spline function $h_{2}^{\mathrm{INV}}(p, T)$ is completely numerically consistent to $T_{2}^{\mathrm{SPL}}(p, h)$

## Summary

$\rightarrow$ Spline functions are able to represent thermodynamic properties continuously
$\rightarrow$ A spline function $T_{2}^{\mathrm{SPL}}(p, h)$ has been created in a first study
$\rightarrow$ High accuracy ( 5 significant figures) could be achieved
$\rightarrow T_{2}^{\mathrm{SPL}}(p, h)$ is 2 times faster than the corresponding backward equation $T_{2}^{97 B \mathrm{BW}}(p, h)$
$\rightarrow$ By solving $T_{2}^{\text {SPL }}(p, h)$ in terms of h , the inverse spline function $h_{2}^{\mathrm{INV}}(p, T)$ could be obtained $\rightarrow$ The inverse spline function $h_{2}^{\mathrm{INV}}(p, T)$ is completely numerically consistent to $T_{2}^{\mathrm{SPL}}(p, h)$

## Outlook

$\rightarrow$ The algorithm will be modified for non-rectangular grids
$\rightarrow$ An algorithm for grid optimization is being developed
$\rightarrow$ Other spline functions will be investigated
$\rightarrow$ The algorithm will be extended for mixtures
$\rightarrow$ Software for automatic generation of spline functions will be developed
$\rightarrow$ From a given equation of state spline functions will be generated
$\rightarrow$ For the desired range of validity and desired accuracy a spline function will be optimized
$\rightarrow$ Source code will be generated automatically

## Thank you for paying attention.

