Development of Viscosity Formulations for Working Fluids Using a Structure-Optimization Method

<u>Sebastian Herrmann</u>¹, Hans-Joachim Kretzschmar¹, Eckhard Vogel², Karsten Meier³

¹Hochschule Zittau/Görlitz University of Applied Sciences, Fachgebiet Technische Thermodynamik, Zittau, Germany ²Universität Rostock, Institut für Chemie, Rostock, Germany

³Helmut-Schmidt-Universität/Universität der Bundeswehr Hamburg, Institut für Thermodynamik, Hamburg, Germany

17th International Conference on the Properties of Water and Steam (17th ICPWS)

September 2nd to September 6th, 2018, Prague, Czech Republic









Outline

Motivation

- 2 Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure
 - Concept and structure-optimization method
 - Choice of viscosity terms for different fluid regions
 - Limit of zero density
 - Initial density dependence
 - High-dense region thermodynamic scaling
 - Near-critical region
 - Bank of terms for structure-optimization method

3 Results

- Viscosity formulation
- Behaviour of viscosity formulation
- 4 Conclusion and Outlook

Motivation – Problems with Consistency

Example isobutane: EOS inconsistent with η

- Correlations recommended in REFPROP¹:
 - EOS Bücker and Wagner (2006)²
 - η Vogel *et al.* (2000)³
- Model type
 - EOS classical including the critical region, an additional parametric crossover EOS not needed
 - η classical, but not including a critical enhancement, primary data conversion $\rho(p, T)$ based on an old-fashioned classical MBWR EOS (Younglove and Ely, 1987)⁴

Example water: EOS consistent with η

- Lemmon, E. W., Bell, I. H., Huber, M. L., and McLinden, M. O., Standard Reference Data Program, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder (2018).
- ² Bücker, D. and Wagner, W., *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **35**, 929-1019 (2006).
- ⁵ Vogel, E., Küchenmeister, C., Bich, E., Int. J. Thermophys., **21**, 343-356 (2000).
- 4 Younglove, B. A. and Ely, J. F., J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 16, 577-798 (1987).

Herrmann (Hochschule Zittau/Görlitz)

Development of Viscosity Formulations

September 5th, 2018, S. 3

Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Motivation – Problems in Near-Critical Region

Example water: η_c – calculation suitable for engineering use, but complicated equations

- Viscosity η of water (Huber *et al.*, 2009⁵): viscosity in near-critical region modelled by closed-form solution of Bhattacharjee *et al.* (1981)⁶
- Calculation speed in process simulations low due to complicated algorithms

Example isobutane: no critical enhancement included

⁵ Huber, M. L., Perkins, R. A., Laesecke, A., Friend, D. G., Sengers, J. V., Assael, M. J., Metaxa, I. M., Vogel, E., Mares, R. and Miyagawa, K., J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 38, 101-125 (2009).

⁰ Bhattacharjee, J. K., Ferrell, R. A., Basu, R. S., and Sengers, J. V., *Phys. Rev. A* 24, 1469-1475 (1981).

Motivation — Problems with Extrapolation Behaviour

Example ethane: η_0 correlation of Vogel *et al.* (2015)⁷ replaced by that of Hellmann (2018)⁸



Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Correlation Method Employing Structure Optimization

Selection criteria

- Development of functional form from a larger bank of terms
- Requirement of reliable experimental data
- Use of theoretically based functional dependencies, e.g., $\eta = \eta(T, \rho)$

Procedure

- Evaluation and classification of all available viscosity data
- Selection of terms for the complete range of fluid states including the near-critical region
- Assessment of the resulting correlation using statistical parameters and adequate description of experimental data

Residual-quantity concept

Viscosity correlation consists of different additive contributions

$$\eta = \eta_0(\tau) + \underbrace{\eta_1(\tau)\delta + \eta_h(\tau,\delta)}_{\tau=\tau} + \eta_c(\tau,\delta) \quad \text{with} \quad \tau = \frac{\tau_c}{\tau}, \delta = 0$$

$$\eta_{\mathsf{res}}(\tau, \delta)$$

T



Zero-Density Viscosity and Initial Density Dependence

- Contributions for zero-density viscosity and initial density dependence treated separately
- Reduced quantities used: $\tau = \frac{T_c}{T}$, $\delta = \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}$
- Bank of terms for separate zero-density viscosity correlation (e.g. isobutane):

$$\eta_{0,\text{bank}}(\tau) = \frac{A_{0,\text{PF}}}{\tau^{1/2} \langle \sum_{j=-2}^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{3} A_{0,ij} (T_{\text{c}}/\tau)^{j/2} \{ \exp\left[(T_{\text{c}}/\tau)^{1/3} \right] \}^{-i} \rangle}.$$
 Result : 3 out of 20 terms.

ightarrow Extrapolation of $\eta_0(au)$ down to 0 K and up to 10000 K very reasonable

. Herrmann (Hochschule Zittau/Görlitz)

Development of Viscosity Formulations

September 5th, 2018, S.

Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Zero-Density Viscosity and Initial Density Dependence

- Contributions for zero-density viscosity and initial density dependence treated separately
- Reduced quantities used: $\tau = \frac{T_c}{T}$, $\delta = \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}$
- Bank of terms for separate zero-density viscosity correlation (e.g. isobutane):

$$\eta_{0,\text{bank}}(\tau) = \frac{A_{0,\text{PF}}}{\tau^{1/2} \langle \sum_{j=-2}^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{3} A_{0,ij} (T_{\text{c}}/\tau)^{j/2} \{ \exp\left[(T_{\text{c}}/\tau)^{1/3} \right] \}^{-i} \rangle}.$$
 Result : 3 out of 20 terms.

ightarrow Extrapolation of $\eta_0(au)$ down to 0 K and up to 10 000 K very reasonable



Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Zero-Density Viscosity and Initial Density Dependence

• Rainwater-Friend theory^{9,10} with correlation for second viscosity virial coefficient B_{η} by Vogel *et al.* (1998)¹¹ used for separate initial-density dependence of viscosity:

$$\eta_1(\tau) = \eta_0(\tau) A_{1,\mathsf{PF}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^6 A_{1,k}(\tau)^{0.25k} + A_{1,7} \tau^{2.5} + A_{1,8} \tau^{5.5}
ight]$$

• E.g. isobutane: experimental data for B_{η}^* and η_1 of Küchenmeister and Vogel¹² and of Herrmann *et al.*¹³ used to determine $\varepsilon/k_{\rm B}$ and σ needed for calculating coefficients $A_{1,k}$



Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Zero-Density Viscosity and Initial Density Dependence

• Rainwater-Friend theory^{9,10} with correlation for second viscosity virial coefficient B_{η} by Vogel *et al.* (1998)¹¹ used for separate initial-density dependence of viscosity:

$$\eta_1(au) = \eta_0(au) A_{1,\mathsf{PF}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^6 A_{1,k}(au)^{0.25k} + A_{1,7} au^{2.5} + A_{1,8} au^{5.5}
ight]$$

• E.g. isobutane: experimental data for B_{η}^* and η_1 of Küchenmeister and Vogel¹² and of Herrmann *et al.*¹³ used to determine $\varepsilon/k_{\rm B}$ and σ needed for calculating coefficients $A_{1,k}$



- ¹¹ Vogel, E., Küchenmeister, C., Bich, E., and Laesecke, A., J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, **27**, 947-970 (1998).
- ¹² Herrmann, S. and Vogel, E., J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data (2018), to be submitted.
- ¹³ Herrmann, S., Hassel, E., and Vogel, E., *AIChE J.*, **61**, 3116-3137 (2015).

. Herrmann (Hochschule Zittau/Görlitz)

Thermodynamic Scaling for High-Dense Region

- Viscosity represented by a single variable ho^{γ}/T instead of separate variables ho and T
- Scaling exponent γ separately determined, e.g., isobutane: $\gamma = 5.6$
- δ , τ used for higher density terms as unknown function $G(\delta^{\gamma}\tau)$, applied to exp. data¹⁴



Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Critical Enhancement of Viscosity

Experimental data partly influenced by critical enhancement

• New data of Herrmann *et al.* (2015) for isobutane show deviations up to +1.9 % near critical density ($\rho_c = 225.5 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$) from preliminary correlation



Critical Enhancement of Viscosity

Theory according to Bhattacharjee *et al.* $(1981)^{15}$

• Viscosity η is modelled as an asymptotic power-law divergence:

$$\eta pprox \eta_{
m b} (Q_0 \xi)^{z_\eta}$$
 with $\eta_{
m b} = \eta_0 + \eta_{
m res}.$

Critical enhancement represents a multiplicative anomaly:

$$\eta_{\mathsf{c}} = \eta_{\mathsf{b}}[(Q_0\xi)^{z_\eta}-1]$$
 .

• Crossover is needed \rightarrow used within the mode-coupling theory, simplified closed-form solution developed by Bhattacharjee et al. (1981) \rightarrow recently used in IAPWS formulation for the viscosity of water (Huber *et al.*, 2009¹⁶):

$$\eta_{\rm c} = \eta_{\rm b}[\exp(z_{\eta} Y) - 1]$$

¹⁵ Bhattacharjee, J. K., Ferrell, R. A., Basu, R. S., and Sengers, J. V., *Phys. Rev. A* 24, 1469-1475 (1981).

16 Huber, M. L., Perkins, R. A., Laesecke, A., Friend, D. G., Sengers, J. V., Assael, M. J., Metaxa, I. M., Vogel, E., Mares, R. and Miyagawa, K., J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 38, 101-125 (2009).

Herrmann (Hochschule Zittau/Görlitz)

Development of Viscosity Formulations

```
September 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, S. 11
```

Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook Critical Enhancement of Viscosity Ethane: theory vs. experiment 1.6 Theory: divergence at the critical point IT 305.64 K Solution by Bhattacharjee et al.: IT 305.84 K 1.4 IT 306.14 K finite values for viscosity when IT 306.44 K approaching the critical point 1.2 IT 308.14 K \rightarrow Suitable for engineering use S35 307.15 K 1.0 S50 307.15 K \rightarrow Development of terms feasible for use Th 305.64 K $\eta_{\rm c}/\mu$ Pas with structure-optimization method 0.8 Th 305.84 K Terms adjusted employing experimental Th 306.14 K Th 306.44 K data 0.6 Th 308.14 K Th 307.15 K T_c: 305.322 K 0.4 IT Iwasaki and Takahashi (1981)¹⁷ 0.2 S35 Seibt et al. (2011)¹⁸ purity grade of sample: 3.5 0.0 S50 Seibt et al. (2011), purity grade of sample: 5.0 -0.2 50 100 150 200 ho_{c} 250 300 Th lines show calculated values using $\rho/\text{kg m}^{-3}$ Bhattacharjee et al. (1981) 17 Iwasaki, H. and Takahashi, M., *J. Chem. Phys.* 74, 1930-1943 (1981).

¹⁸ Seibt, D., Voß, K., Herrmann, S., Vogel, E., Hassel, E. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **56**, 1476-1493 (2011).

Example Isobutane – Viscosity Correlation

Residual-quantity concept

Viscosity correlation consists of different additive contributions

$$\eta = \eta_0(\tau) + \eta_1(\tau)\delta + \eta_h(\tau,\delta) + \eta_c(\tau,\delta).$$

Bank of terms for high-density and near-critical regions

- Reduced quantities: $\tau = \frac{T_c}{T}$, $\delta = \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}$
- Bank of terms for the high-density and the near-critical regions:

$$\eta_{\mathsf{h}}(\tau,\delta) + \eta_{\mathsf{c}}(\tau,\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{5} \sum_{j=2}^{10} A_{ij} \tau^{i} \delta^{j} + \tau^{1/2} \delta^{-2/3} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{5} A_{k} (\delta^{\gamma} \tau)^{k} \right] \qquad \leftarrow \eta_{\mathsf{h}}$$
$$+ \sum_{m=0}^{1} A_{m} \tau \delta \mu_{m} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_{m} (\delta - \gamma_{m})^{2} - \varepsilon_{m} |\tau - \zeta_{m}|}. \qquad \leftarrow \eta_{\mathsf{c}}$$

S. Herrmann (Hochschule Zittau/Görlitz)

Development of Viscosity Formulations

September 5th, 2018, S. 13

Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Example Isobutane – Viscosity Correlation

Final result for viscosity, e.g., isobutane

- Reduced quantities: $au = \frac{T_c}{T}$, $\delta = \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}$
- Separate zero-density viscosity and initial-density dependence contributions
- Result from structure-optimization method for high-density and near-critical regions

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\rm cor}(\tau,\delta) &= \frac{A_{0,{\sf PF}}}{\tau^{1/2} \left[A_{0,0} \tau^{1/2} (T_{\rm c})^{-1/2} + A_{1,0} + A_{2,0} \, {\rm e}^{-(T_{\rm c}/\tau)^{1/3}} \right]} \\ &\times \left\{ 1 + A_{1,{\sf PF}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{6} A_{1,k}(\tau)^{0.25k} + A_{1,7} \tau^{2.5} + A_{1,8} \tau^{5.5} \right] \delta \right\} \\ &+ \left[\sum_{i=1}^{10} A_i \tau^{t_i} \delta^{d_i} + \tau^{1/2} \delta^{-2/3} A_{11} (\delta^{5.6} \tau)^4 \right] \\ &+ \left[\sum_{i=12}^{13} A_i \tau \delta \, {\rm e}^{-\beta_i (\delta-1)^2 - \varepsilon_i |\tau-1|} \right]. \end{split}$$

Example Isobutane – Viscosity Isotherms as Function of Density ho



Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook Example Isobutane – Viscosity Isobars as Function of Temperature T



Example Isobutane - Comparison Equation vs. Experiment

Viscosity in the fluid and near-critical region

- New data of Herrmann et al. (2015) described within experimental uncertainty
- Deviations of data in the near-critical region $< \pm 0.34\%$, one outlier at 0.46%



Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Example Isobutane – Comparison Equation vs. Experiment

Viscosity in the fluid and near-critical region

- New data of Herrmann et al. (2015) described within experimental uncertainty
- Deviations of data in the near-critical region $< \pm 0.34\%$, one outlier at 0.46%



Conclusion and Outlook

Conclusion I

- The residual-quantity concept was applied to determine the functional form of the formulations
- The structure-optimization method of Setzmann and Wagner (Ruhr-Universität Bochum) was used
- The viscosity was correlated as $\eta(\tau, \delta)$
- The zero-density and initial-density viscosity contributions were treated separately
- Thermodynamic scaling was used for high-density terms, scaling exponent γ inferred for isobutane
- New term format for critical enhancement of viscosity was developed
- The extrapolation behavior to low and high temperatures and pressures is reasonable

6. Herrmann (Hochschule Zittau/Görlitz)

Development of Viscosity Formulations

September 5th, 2018, S. 19

Motivation Method, Theory, and Fitting Procedure Results Conclusion and Outlook

Conclusion and Outlook

Conclusion II

- New formulations describe all important thermodynamic regions with a simplified equation due to use of the structure-optimization method
- Recently developed viscosity correlations using structure-optimization method
 - Ethane: Vogel et al. (2015) and Herrmann et al. (Update 2018)
 - Propane: Vogel and Herrmann (2016)
 - *n*-Butane: Herrmann and Vogel (2018)
 - Isobutane: Herrmann and Vogel (to be submitted 2018)
- All correlations are implemented or subject to implement into REFPROP as reference formulations for viscosity of each fluid

Outlook

- Update for Propane in preparation (to be submitted to *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*)
- Investigation on further fluids for which new experimental data will become available → cooperation with Prof. K. Meier, Helmut-Schmidt-Universität, Hamburg

Conclusion and Outlook

Conclusion II

- New formulations describe all important thermodynamic regions with a simplified equation due to use of the structure-optimization method
- Recently developed viscosity correlations using structure-optimization method
 - Ethane: Vogel et al. (2015) and Herrmann et al. (Update 2018)
 - Propane: Vogel and Herrmann (2016)
 - *n*-Butane: Herrmann and Vogel (2018)
 - Isobutane: Herrmann and Vogel (to be submitted 2018)
- All correlations are implemented or subject to implement into REFPROP as reference formulations for viscosity of each fluid

Outlook

- Update for Propane in preparation (to be submitted to J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data)
- Investigation on further fluids for which new experimental data will become available
 → cooperation with Prof. K. Meier, Helmut-Schmidt-Universität, Hamburg

S. Herrmann (Hochschule Zittau/Görlitz)

Development of Viscosity Formulations

September 5th, 2018, S. 20